



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,450	09/20/2003	Yurdaer Nezihi Doganata	CHA920030010US1	4523
45095	7590	10/03/2011	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK LLC			DWIVEDI, MAHESH H	
75 STATE ST			ART UNIT	
14 FL			PAPER NUMBER	
ALBANY, NY 12207			2168	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2011	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/664,450	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DOGANATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MAHESH DWIVEDI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAHESH DWIVEDI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Carl Ruoff (Reg # 34,241). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐ 101    ☐ 112    ☐ 102    ☐ 103    ☒ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

On 9/27/2011, the examiner contacted applicant's representative Mr. Carl Ruoff to inquire about the status of the instant case. Mr. Ruoff stated that the instant case was abandoned.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/MAHESH DWIVEDI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168	
---	--